Long-term experimental study of price responsive predictive control in a real occupied single-family house with heat pump

う古

いいの

Simon Thorsteinsson, Alex Kalaee, Pierre Vogler-Finck, Henrik Stærmose, Ivan Katic, Jan Dimon Bendtsen

Overview

≻Load shifting through demand side management

Four-month experimental study in a near-zero emission occupied single-family house in Denmark.

- The control algorithm uses price signals, weather forecast, a single-zone building model, and a non-linear heat pump efficiency model.
- ➤Cost reduction from the controller ranging from 2-17% depending on the chosen comfort level.

≻Study carried out as part of the EUDP project "OpSys 2.0".

Outline

Case study
System setup
Control architecture
Main results
Conclusion



Why care about load shifting?

Danish price volatility in the winter of 2022-23



Case study: Modern low-energy single-family house



「日報三六年神奈川神



 Heat pump: Bosch Air/water, 7kW capacity
 Floor heating managed by Wavin controller – individual circuit flows governed by ON/OFF valves
 Photovoltaic panels on roof deliver up to 5.5 kW electric power, remaining electricity is supplied from electric grid





System setup – data management





Communication infrastructure



Room temperature model fit

富振三十六条

袖

いないないって





Heat pump model





'Tuning' supervisory MPC

富振三十六条

袖

いないきのつ



The supervisory MPC solves a *Mixed Integer/Linear Programming* optimization problem based on a weighted sum of performance curves like the ones shown above.
 Instead of "comfort," high values indicate "distress."
 Lower-level controllers manage the actual flows, turning the heat pump ON/OFF, etc.



Experiment and benchmark days



Green – benchmark Blue – Comfort level 1 Yellow – Comfort level 2 Red – Comfort level 3 Orange – Comfort level 4

Control performance

いないきのつち



Control performance

富藏三十六条

袖

いないきのつな



富濃三十六条 Long term performance 袖 Room temperatures over the experiment 26 24 22 ົວ 20 18 Mean temp for comfort level High comfort zone [21.0 °C, 22.5 °C] Temp. ref in hobbyroom 16 Time [date]

いないをあって

富藏三十六条 Benefit of using MPC? いないきのつき 袖 Comparison of daily heating patterns Period Experiment Benchmark Hour of day 7.0 Experiment 6.0 0.5 Heat 0.6 [KMh] 0.2 0.2 Benchmark 1.0 0.0 22 18 2 4 6 16 20 0 8 12 14 Hour of day



Savings depend on comfort level





Nice percentage-wise savings ... but limited financial benefit

Comfort level	Average benchmark cost [€]	Exp. cost [€]	Reduction [€]	Saving rate [%]
1 (🔺)	10.92	7.33	3.59	32.8
2 (🍐)	49.84	35.34	14.50	29.1
3 (▲)	126.42	123.49	2.93	2.3
4 (▲)	42.65	35.23	7.42	17.4
3 and 4	169.07	158.72	10.35	6.1
All	229.83	201.39	28.43	12.4



Conclusion

We presented a four-month experimental study in a near-zero emission occupied single-family house in Denmark.
 The control algorithm was able to provide energy savings by coordinating the available hardware, including running the heat pump closer to its COP optimum and exploiting the roof photovoltaic panels more efficiently.
 The cost reduction achieved was found to rang from 2-17% depending on the chosen comfort level.
 Crucially, the experiment did not result in any discernible discomfort to the occupants.